

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,365	01/31/2002		Baljeet Singh Baweja	AUS920010968US1	2933
23550	7590	10/08/2004		EXAM	IINER
		CK & D'ALESSA	PHAN, THANH S		
3 E-COMM SQUARE ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
The state of the s				2841	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	10/062,365	BAWEJA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanh S Phan	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133),					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
District of the second of the	, <u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/062,365

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 11-12 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai [US 5,966,346] in view of Guyett et al. [US 6,147,935].

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7, Arai discloses an alarm clock comprising a system for designating distinct and different alarm signals [column 6, lines 17-20]; and a snooze mechanism [rotary bezel 3] for deactivating a first designated alarm signal and automatically activating a second designated alarm signal after a predetermined time [abstract].

Arai disclose the claimed invention except for wherein the system allowing the user to designate distinct alarm signals.

Guyett et al. disclose an alarm system wherein the user is capable of awakened to alarms signals as preferred [column 7. last paragraph +].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to choose a preferred alarm signals as suggested by Guyett et al. with Arai for a user to obtain greater appreciation toward awakening.

Application/Control Number: 10/062,365

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claims 3, 12, Arai further disclose the first alarm signal has a different volume level than the second alarm signal [column 2, lines50-56].

Regarding claim 6, Arai discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second signal use different harmonics.

Guyett et al. teaches of an alarm clock system having a plurality of pleasant sounds [column 8, lines 15-26].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Guyett et al. with Arai for the purpose of providing pleasant awakening of the sleeper.

Regarding claims 15-18, the methods steps are necessitated by the apparatus structure.

Claims 8, 9, 13, 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai in view of Guyett et al. in further of Thorgersen et al. [US 5,524,101].

Arai, as modified, disclose the claimed invention except for a motion detector system that disabled the alarm function after a predetermined period.

Thorgersen et al. disclose a motion controlled alarm clock comprising a motion sensor {column 1, last paragraph +1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the motion sensor design of Thorgersen et al. with Arai, as modified, for the purpose of deactivating the alarm sounding mechanism after a predetermined period.

Art Unit: 2841

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai in view of Guyett et al. [US 6,147,935] in further view of Guyett et al. [US 6,310,833].

Regarding claim 10, Arai, as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for a limit maximum snooze quantity.

Guyett et al. [US 6,310,833] teach that it is known in an alarm clock system to have a limit maximum snooze quantity as set forth at column 7. lines 61-63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Guyett et al. [US 6,310,833] with Arai, as modified, so that the user may avoid falling back into a deep sleep.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tsp

KAMAND CUNEO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER